

CAPE CORAL TECHNICAL COLLEGE

POST REMEDIATION ASSESSMENT

Cape Coral, Florida
October 15, 2022
Project #021343

Post Remediation Assessment

Prepared By

Charles Connolly Senior Consultant

Reviewed By

Jacob Fenske, CIH Director, Disaster Recovery

David Watts, CIH Senior Industrial Hygienist

Prepared on October 15, 2022



Table of Contents

| 1.0 | Background | 4 |
|------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 2.0 | Exposure Standards and Guidelines | 4 |
| 3.0 | Methods and Equipment | 5 |
| | 3.1 Visual Inspection | 5 |
| 4.0 | Results | 5 |
| 5.0 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 5 |
| 6.0 | References | 6 |
| | | |
| List | t of Appendices | |
| Арр | endix ALaboratory Repor | ts |

1.0 Background

On September 28, 2022, Hurricane Ian made landfall in Cayo Costa, FL with winds of 155 miles per hour, two miles per hour short of a Category 5 hurricane. Over the course of two days, Ian moved across Florida, exiting on September 30, 2022, but not before causing major flooding and tornado-like damage in areas across the state.

In response to Hurricane Ian, CTEH, LLC® (CTEH) was requested by Lemoine Disaster Recovery (Lemoine) to assess structures associated with Lee County School District awarded to them under RFQ No. 22-7431TA for potential water intrusion. On October 14, 2022, industrial hygienists from CTEH, LLC® (CTEH) and EFI Global, Inc. conducted post remediation verification (PRV) bioaerosol sampling and visually assessed the Cape Coral Technical College at 360 Santa Barbara Blvd N, Cape Coral, FL 33993. All samples were collected under the supervision of a licensed mold assessor from EFI. The assessments were requested by Lemoine to assess the potential presence of airborne mold spores, and were conducted in both the impacted and non-impacted buildings.

Heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) for each room is provided by a HVAC system on the roof of the building.

At this time all rooms are sampled and there were no visible signs of mold in the rooms inspected; however, there were three rooms that contained elevated spore counts associated with Chaetomium and/or Stachybotrys. Those rooms are located at:

- Building 01-1-008
- Building 01-1-207
- Building 01-1-213

The airborne bioaerosol sampling in all other rooms confirmed mold spores were consistent with what would be found outdoors or in a typical building environment.

2.0 Exposure Standards and Guidelines

Currently, there are no generally accepted occupational or public health standards for interpreting airborne microbiological sample results. Individual susceptibility varies with genetic predisposition, age, state of health, concurrent exposures, and previous sensitization. Due to these challenges, it is not possible to determine an indoor spore concentration that can guarantee all individuals will be asymptomatic. Guidelines published by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) recommend comparing the indoor and outdoor air sampling results. In general, the types of fungi and their airborne concentrations found indoors should be similar (in non-problem buildings) to outdoor air. [1] Differences



in the airborne levels or types of fungi may indicate the presence of moisture sources and resultant fungal growth.

3.0 Methods and Equipment

All collected samples were sent under chain-of-custody to EMSL Analytical, Inc., an AIHA-accredited laboratory.* All monitoring equipment was factory calibrated at the manufacturers recommended interval or prior to sampling.

Bioaerosol samples were collected indoors and outdoors using Zefon Air-O-Cell sampling cassettes attached to an SKC QuickTake 30 air sampling pump. Prior to sampling, the pump was calibrated to a flowrate of approximately 15 L/min, as specified by the sampling media manufacturer, using a Bios Defender 510 DryCal. Each sample was collected for a ten-minute duration resulting in a sampled air volume of approximately 150 Liters.[†] Samples were analyzed by microscopic examination using method EMSL 05-TP-003/ASTM D7391 to determine mold spore genus and spore concentration. This method does not differentiate between viable and non-viable spore types.

3.1 Visual Inspection

A visual inspection was conducted in accessible portions of the classrooms, school common areas, and above drop ceilings as necessary.

3.2 Psychrometric Assessment

A psychrometric assessment was conducted utilizing a Protimeter Hygromaster L (Model #: POL7750L) Hygrometer in both the impacted and non-impacted buildings.

4.0 Results

Laboratory reports for all samples are provided in **Appendix A**.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

The inspections and tests were performed on October 14, 2022, by industrial hygienists from CTEH and EFI. The results of the post-remediation inspection revealed no visible mold growth but did reveal three rooms that had elevated spore counts for Chaetomium and/or Stachybotrys. Lemoine was notified of these results and advised to investigate the potential source of these detections further, and that isolation of the rooms and additional remediation actions prior to permitting re-occupancy to this school location



^{*} AIHA Laboratory Accreditation Program (AIHA-LAP) – Environmental Microbiology; AIHA Environmental Microbiology Proficiency Analytical Testing Program (AIHA-EMPAT) Participant; CDC Elite – Legionella

[†] See laboratory reports in the appendix for exact flowrates and collected volumes.

may be warranted. The post-remediation inspection also revealed psychrometric (i.e., atmospheric) readings above 55 Grains of Moisture per Pound of Air (GPP), which may also warrant further investigation / dehumidification techniques to inhibit microbial growth.

The results of the air testing in all other assessed rooms are considered normal and typical and do not indicate the presence of elevated airborne mold spores.

While some areas of the Cape Coral Technical College may be fit for re-occupancy at this time, the presence of Chaetomium and/or Stachybotrys may warrant a more thorough investigation, and it is recommended that, at a minimum, the rooms that contained these elevated spore counts are retested following further investigation and remediation activities prior to removing occupancy restrictions.

6.0 References

[1] (AIHA), American Industrial Hygiene Association. *Facts About Mold*. Edited by American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA). Falls Church, Virginia: AIHA, 2011.



Appendix A

Laboratory Reports



5700 Memorial Highway, Suite 122 Tampa, FL 33615

Tel/Fax: (813) 280-8752 / (813) 280-8753 http://www.EMSL.com / tampalab@emsl.com **EMSL Order:** 932205763 **Customer ID:** CTEH99

Customer PO: Project ID:

Attention: Noah Ambos

CTEH Center for Toxicology & Env. Health

5120 North Shore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118 Fax: (501) 614-2835 Collected Date: 10/14/2022

Received Date: 10/15/2022 06:15 AM

Phone: (501) 454-1622

Analyzed Date: 10/15/2022

Project: 035.18478/ Cape Coral Technical College

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

| Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): Sample Location: | 932205763-0001 CC01 150 Building 01-1-079A | | | 932205763-0002 CC02 150 Building 01-1-008 | | | 932205763-0003 CC03 150 | | |
|--|---|----------|------------|--|----------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| | | | | | | | Building 01-1-011A | | |
| Spore Types | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total |
| Alternaria (Ulocladium) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ascospores | 2 | 40 | 4.5 | 2 | 40 | 6.1 | - | - | - |
| Aspergillus/Penicillium | 15 | 330 | 37.2 | 14 | 310 | 47 | 2 | 40 | 23.5 |
| Basidiospores | 8 | 200 | 22.5 | 6 | 100 | 15.2 | 3 | 70 | 41.2 |
| Bipolaris++ | 1* | 7* | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chaetomium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cladosporium | 9 | 200 | 22.5 | 6 | 100 | 15.2 | 1 | 20 | 11.8 |
| Curvularia | 4 | 90 | 10.1 | 3 | 70 | 10.6 | 2 | 40 | 23.5 |
| Epicoccum | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fusarium++ | - | - | - | 4* | 30* | 4.5 | - | - | - |
| Ganoderma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Myxomycetes++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pithomyces++ | 1 | 20 | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rust | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scopulariopsis/Microascus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stachybotrys/Memnoniella | - | - | - | 2* | 10* | 1.5 | - | - | - |
| Unidentifiable Spores | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Zygomycetes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cercospora++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pyricularia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total Fungi | 40 | 887 | 100 | 37 | 660 | 100 | 8 | 170 | 100 |
| Hyphal Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Insect Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pollen | 2 | 40 | - | - | - | - | 1* | 7* | - |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 600x | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 300x | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - |
| Skin Fragments (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Fibrous Particulate (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Background (1-5) | - | 2 | _ | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Gerald lannuzzi, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300%. "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. Skin & Fibrous ratings: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-100%) of the background particles.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Tampa, FL A2LA Accredited – Certificate #2845.28



5700 Memorial Highway, Suite 122 Tampa, FL 33615

Tel/Fax: (813) 280-8752 / (813) 280-8753 http://www.EMSL.com / tampalab@emsl.com EMSL Order: 932205763 Customer ID: CTEH99

Customer PO: Project ID:

Attention: Noah Ambos

CTEH Center for Toxicology & Env. Health

5120 North Shore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118 Fax: (501) 614-2835

Collected Date: 10/14/2022

Received Date: 10/15/2022 06:15 AM

Phone: (501) 454-1622

Analyzed Date: 10/15/2022

Project: 035.18478/ Cape Coral Technical College

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

| Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): | 932205763-0004 CC04 150 Building 01-1-022 | | | 932205763-0005 CC05 150 Building 01-1-047 | | | 932205763-0006 CC06 150 Building 01-1-207 | | | |
|--|--|----------|------------|--|----------|------------|--|----------|------------|--|
| Sample Location: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spore Types | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | |
| Alternaria (Ulocladium) | - | - | <u> </u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Ascospores | 1 | 20 | 4.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Aspergillus/Penicillium | 7 | 200 | 47.6 | 3 | 70 | 63.6 | 3 | 70 | 16 | |
| Basidiospores | 2 | 40 | 9.5 | 1 | 20 | 18.2 | 3 | 70 | 16 | |
| Bipolaris++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Chaetomium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cladosporium | 4 | 90 | 21.4 | - | - | - | 13 | 290 | 66.4 | |
| Curvularia | 3 | 70 | 16.7 | 1 | 20 | 18.2 | - | - | - | |
| Epicoccum | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fusarium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Ganoderma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Myxomycetes++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pithomyces++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rust | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Scopulariopsis/Microascus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Stachybotrys/Memnoniella | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1* | 7* | 1.6 | |
| Unidentifiable Spores | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Zygomycetes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cercospora++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pyricularia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total Fungi | 17 | 420 | 100 | 5 | 110 | 100 | 20 | 437 | 100 | |
| Hyphal Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Insect Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 70 | - | |
| Pollen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 600x | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 300x | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | |
| Skin Fragments (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Fibrous Particulate (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Background (1-5) | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Gerald lannuzzi, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300%. "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. Skin & Fibrous ratings: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-100%) of the background particles.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Tampa, FL A2LA Accredited – Certificate #2845.28



5700 Memorial Highway, Suite 122 Tampa, FL 33615

Tel/Fax: (813) 280-8752 / (813) 280-8753 http://www.EMSL.com / tampalab@emsl.com EMSL Order: 932205763 Customer ID: CTEH99

Customer PO: Project ID:

Attention: Noah Ambos

CTEH Center for Toxicology & Env. Health

5120 North Shore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118 Fax: (501) 614-2835

Collected Date: 10/14/2022

Received Date: 10/15/2022 06:15 AM

Phone: (501) 454-1622

Analyzed Date: 10/15/2022

Project: 035.18478/ Cape Coral Technical College

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

| Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): | 932205763-0007 CC07 150 Building 01-1-213 | | | 932205763-0008 CC08 150 Building 01-1-224 | | | 932205763-0009 CC09 150 | | | |
|--|--|----------|------------|--|----------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|--|
| Sample Location: | | | | | | | Building 01-1-263 | | | |
| Spore Types | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | |
| Alternaria (Ulocladium) | 1* | 7* | 3.4 | - | - | <u> </u> | - | - | <u>'</u> | |
| Ascospores | 3 | 70 | 34.3 | 1* | 7* | 3.4 | 1 | 20 | 11.8 | |
| Aspergillus/Penicillium | 6 | 100 | 49 | 3 | 70 | 33.8 | 3 | 70 | 41.2 | |
| Basidiospores | - | - | - | 2 | 40 | 19.3 | 2 | 40 | 23.5 | |
| Bipolaris++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Chaetomium++ | 1* | 7* | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cladosporium | 1 | 20 | 9.8 | 4 | 90 | 43.5 | 2 | 40 | 23.5 | |
| Curvularia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Epicoccum | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fusarium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Ganoderma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Myxomycetes++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pithomyces++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rust | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Scopulariopsis/Microascus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Stachybotrys/Memnoniella | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Unidentifiable Spores | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Zygomycetes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cercospora++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pyricularia | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Total Fungi | 12 | 204 | 100 | 10 | 207 | 100 | 8 | 170 | 100 | |
| Hyphal Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1* | 7* | - | |
| Insect Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pollen | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 600x | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 300x | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | |
| Skin Fragments (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Fibrous Particulate (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Background (1-5) | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | |

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Gerald lannuzzi, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300%. "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. Skin & Fibrous ratings: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-100%) of the background particles.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Tampa, FL A2LA Accredited – Certificate #2845.28



5700 Memorial Highway, Suite 122 Tampa, FL 33615

Tel/Fax: (813) 280-8752 / (813) 280-8753 http://www.EMSL.com / tampalab@emsl.com

EMSL Order: 932205763 Customer ID: CTEH99

Customer PO: Project ID:

Attention: Noah Ambos

CTEH Center for Toxicology & Env. Health

5120 North Shore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118

Fax: (501) 614-2835 **Collected Date: 10/14/2022**

Received Date: 10/15/2022 06:15 AM

Phone: (501) 454-1622

Analyzed Date: 10/15/2022

Project: 035.18478/ Cape Coral Technical College

Test Report:Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)

| Lab Sample Number: Client Sample ID: Volume (L): | 932205763-0010 CC10 150 Building 01-1-230 | | | 932205763-0011 CC11 150 | | | 932205763-0012 CC12 150 | | | |
|--|--|----------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|--|
| Sample Location: | | | | | Building 01-1- | | Outside of Building 01-1-000 | | | |
| Spore Types | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | Raw Count | Count/M³ | % of Total | |
| Alternaria (Ulocladium) | - | - | <u> </u> | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Ascospores | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | 11.1 | 14 | 310 | 10.1 | |
| Aspergillus/Penicillium | 3 | 70 | 51.1 | 5 | 100 | 55.6 | 22 | 490 | 15.9 | |
| Basidiospores | 2 | 40 | 29.2 | 2 | 40 | 22.2 | 14 | 310 | 10.1 | |
| Bipolaris++ | 1* | 7* | 5.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Chaetomium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cladosporium | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | 11.1 | 68 | 1500 | 48.7 | |
| Curvularia | 1 | 20 | 14.6 | - | - | - | 11 | 240 | 7.8 | |
| Epicoccum | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fusarium++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 70 | 2.3 | |
| Ganoderma | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 40 | 1.3 | |
| Myxomycetes++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Pithomyces++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Rust | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Scopulariopsis/Microascus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Stachybotrys/Memnoniella | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5* | 30* | 1 | |
| Unidentifiable Spores | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Zygomycetes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Cercospora++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 70 | 2.3 | |
| Pyricularia | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 20 | 0.6 | |
| Total Fungi | 7 | 137 | 100 | 9 | 180 | 100 | 143 | 3080 | 100 | |
| Hyphal Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1* | 7* | - | |
| Insect Fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 90 | - | |
| Pollen | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4* | 30* | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 600x | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | - | |
| Analyt. Sensitivity 300x | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | - | 7* | - | |
| Skin Fragments (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Fibrous Particulate (1-4) | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | |
| Background (1-5) | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | |

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Gerald lannuzzi, Laboratory Manager or other Approved Signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report relates only to the samples reported above, and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. The report reflects the samples as received. Results are generated from the field sampling data (sampling volumes and areas, locations, etc.) provided by the client on the Chain of Custody. Samples are within quality control criteria and met method specifications unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment."*" Denotes particles found at 300X."-" Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. Skin & Fibrous ratings: 1 (1-25%), 2 (26-50%), 3 (51-75%), 4 (76-100%) of the background particles. Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Tampa, FL A2LA Accredited - Certificate #2845.28